

STRATEGIES FOR BUILDING INSTITUTIONAL AND COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS FOR SUPPORTING VULNERABLE POPULATIONS AND PROMOTING SOCIAL JUSTICE.

DR. ADAMU ABBA

Department of Sociology
Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences
Gombe State University
PMB 127
aafanda@gmail.com
08035891005

&

RABI MUAZU

Federal College of Education (Tech) Gombe
rabiimuaxu@gmail.com

Abstract

In an era of increasing social inequality and complex societal challenges, the need for effective partnerships between institutions and communities has never been more critical. This paper examines strategies for building institutional and community partnerships specifically designed to support vulnerable populations and promote social justice outcomes. Through an analysis of existing literature, best practices, and case studies, this paper identifies key principles and approaches that enable successful collaborative efforts across different sectors. It shows that effective partnerships require foundational elements, including trust-building, shared vision development, equitable power distribution, and sustained commitment to social justice principles. Institutional partnerships benefit from formal structures, resource-sharing mechanisms, and accountability frameworks, while community partnerships benefit from participatory approaches, capacity building, and community ownership models. The paper demonstrates that successful partnerships addressing vulnerable populations must center equity, embrace intersectionality, and maintain a long-term sustainability focus. It also shows that partnerships that combine institutional resources with community wisdom and lived experience produce the most significant and lasting impacts for the vulnerable population. The paper concludes with case studies and practical examples. The basic principles identified for sustainable strategies are: shared power and decision-making, trust building, shared vision development, cultural harmony, mutual benefit, sustainability planning, and commitment to multiple learning, which provide important guidance for partnership development across different contexts and populations.

KEYWORDS: *Strategies, Institutional Partnerships, Community Collaboration, Vulnerable Population, Social Justice,*

Introduction

Modern society faces complex and intertwined problems that affect vulnerable populations. These challenges include housing, insecurity, healthcare disparities, and employment barriers, which no single institution or individual can effectively address (Nasution & Pradana, 2021). The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic further highlighted the necessity for coordinated institutional and community efforts to support at-risk individuals (Hingle et al., 2020). Vulnerable populations consist of groups like the homeless, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) individuals, and those living in poverty (Savage et al., 2019), who often experience overlapping disadvantages that create barriers to accessing essential services. According to Kania and Kramer (2011), traditional isolated service delivery models

are inadequate in addressing the problems of vulnerable populations because it usually leads to duplication, gaps, and inefficient resource use.

Thus, this necessitates partnership-based approaches. Institutional partnerships, defined as formal or informal collaborations between entities like healthcare or governmental organizations, pool resources and expertise together to enhance the institution's capacity (Minkler & Wallerstein, 2008). On the other hand, community partnerships emphasize the central role of community members, most especially those with lived experiences, in problem identification and solution design (Minkler & Wallerstein, 2008). The intersection of these partnerships offers opportunities for advancing social justice by addressing both immediate needed services and underlying structural inequities (Grant, 2022). Despite their potential, significant challenges persist, including power imbalances, competing priorities, and resource constraints (Doucet et al., 2024; Olorunsogo et al., 2024).

This paper addresses these challenges by examining comprehensive strategies for building effective institutional and community partnerships that support vulnerable populations and promote social justice. It is guided by key questions on foundational principles, equity, specific strategies for different partnership types, achieving both immediate and systemic change, and transferable models. The paper synthesizes existing literature, analyzes best practices, and examines case studies.

Conceptual Clarification:

Vulnerable Population

The concept of vulnerability is porous and shifting, and sometimes depends on the context being used. However, it has evolved from multiple perspectives to a multidimensional understanding that recognizes systemic factors alongside individual challenges (Rukmana, 2024; Gómez et al., 2025). At the early stage, the concept of vulnerability focused on individual features or behaviors, inadvertently placing responsibility for adverse outcomes on those experiencing hardship rather than examining the structural conditions that create vulnerability (Rukmana, 2024). The social determinants of health framework, for example, emphasizes how factors such as income, education, housing, social support, and access to healthcare interact to influence individual and community well-being (World Health Organization, WHO 2024). This perspective shifts attention from individual deficits to the social, economic, and political conditions that create differential exposure to risk and access to protective resources. Intersectionality theory, developed by Crenshaw (1989) and expanded by numerous scholars across disciplines, provides a crucial lens for understanding how multiple forms of identity and oppression interact to create experiences of vulnerability.

Rather than viewing identity categories such as race, gender, class, sexuality, and disability as separate and additive, intersectionality recognizes that these categories interact in complex ways to create qualitatively different experiences of privilege and marginalization. This framework is very important in understanding vulnerability because it helps explain why some individuals and communities face multiple problems that cannot be explained by examining single identity categories without considering others.

In view of the above, vulnerability arises when individuals or groups lack the capabilities necessary to achieve basic human functioning, such as health, education, political participation, and social connection. Recent scholarship has also emphasized the importance of recognizing community assets and resilience alongside vulnerability. In addition, two types of vulnerability were identified; Situational vulnerability refers to temporary circumstances that increase risk, such as job loss or illness, while structural vulnerability results from systematic disadvantage embedded in social, economic, and political systems (Cutter, 2024). Understanding these distinctions is important for partnership development because different types of vulnerability may require different intervention approaches and partnership strategies.

Institutional Partnership

The development of institutional partnerships in social services is mostly due to the recognition of complex social problems that require coordinated responses that are beyond the traditional institutional boundaries. Studies' analysis shows that partnership methods emerged in response to many reasons, such as: an increase in the complexity of social problems, resource constraints facing individual or institutions, policy mandating coordination, and growing evidence that fragmented service delivery produces suboptimal outcomes (Mohd-Naufal et al., 2024; Anderson et al., 2025; Esnara, 2025). Early models of institutional partnership often focused on coordination and communication between existing organizations without fundamentally altering organizational structures or practices. These "coordination" models, while valuable for reducing duplication and improving information sharing, were limited in their ability to address systemic issues or create transformative change (Himmelman, 1996). Over time, more partnership models have emerged that involve deeper levels of integration, shared governance, and collective accountability for outcomes. The literature identifies several distinct models of institutional partnership, each with different characteristics, benefits, and challenges.

Network models involve multiple organizations connected through formal or informal relationships, with coordination typically managed through a lead organization or coordinating body (Provan & Kenis, 2008). These models are relatively easy to establish and maintain, but may struggle with accountability and decision-making when conflicts arise between partner organizations. Collaborative models involve more formal structures for shared decision-making and resource allocation, often including joint governance bodies, shared funding mechanisms, and collective accountability for outcomes (Mattessich et al., 2001). These models have the potential to achieve greater integration and alignment but require significant investment in relationship building, governance development, and conflict resolution processes. Merger and acquisition models represent the most intensive form of institutional partnership, involving the formal combination of organizations into new entities (Piana, 2010). While these models have the potential to achieve the highest levels of integration and efficiency, they also involve significant risks and may result in loss of organizational identity, culture, and community connections that were valuable assets of the original organizations.

The collective impact framework, developed by Kania and Kramer (2011), has gained significant attention as a model for large-scale institutional partnerships that address complex social problems. The framework emphasizes five key conditions for successful collective impact, namely: common agenda, shared measurement systems, mutually reinforcing activities, continuous communication, and backbone support organizations. Research on collective impact initiatives has shown promising results in some contexts while also revealing challenges related to power dynamics, community engagement, and sustainability. Evaluation research on institutional partnerships has produced mixed findings regarding effectiveness, with outcomes varying significantly based on partnership design, implementation quality, and contextual factors (Hanley-Brown et al., 2012).

Successful partnerships tend to share several characteristics: clear shared vision and goals, strong leadership structures, adequate resources and infrastructure, effective communication systems, and commitment to continuous learning and adaptation (Esnara, 2025; Mustapha et al., 2024; Ijiga et al., 2024). However, literature also reveals significant challenges facing institutional partnerships, such as power imbalances between institutions of different sizes and resources. These challenges can undermine the collaborative decision-making and equitable resource distribution (Nasution & Pradana, 2021). Competing organizational priorities, different organizational cultures, and varying approaches to service delivery can create conflicts that impede partnership effectiveness (Doucet et al., 2024). Additionally, the time and resources required to develop and maintain partnerships can strain organizational capacity, particularly for smaller organizations serving vulnerable populations (Gómez et al., 2025).

Community Based Partnership Approaches

Community-based partnership approaches differ from traditional institutional partnerships. It emphasizes community leadership, participatory decision-making, and the central role of lived experience in identifying problems and providing solutions. These methods have roots in several intellectual and practice traditions, such as community organizing, participatory action research, popular education, and liberation theology (Thomson et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024; Ijiga et al., 2024). Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) has emerged as an influential framework for community-institutional partnerships. CBPR is defined as a collaborative research approach that equitably involves all partners in the research process and recognizes the unique strengths that each brings (Ijiga et al., 2024). Key principles of CBPR include recognizing community as a unit of identity, building on strengths and resources within the community, facilitating collaborative partnerships in all phases of research, integrating knowledge and action for mutual benefit, promoting co-learning and empowerment, involving cyclical and iterative processes, addressing health from both positive and ecological perspectives, and disseminating findings and knowledge gained to all partners (Ozano, et al., 2024).

Research on CBPR initiatives has demonstrated several important benefits for both communities and institutional partners. For communities, CBPR can build local capacity for research and advocacy, strengthen social networks and collective efficacy, and ensure that research addresses community-identified priorities (Taffere et al., 2024; Roque et al., 2024). For institutional partners, CBPR can improve the relevance and cultural appropriateness of interventions, enhance recruitment and retention in research studies, and build trust and credibility within communities (Kwok et al., 2025; Sperling et al., 2025). However, CBPR also faces significant challenges that are important to understand for partnership development. Power imbalances between academic institutions and communities can undermine genuine participation and shared decision-making (Arriola-Pacheco et al., 2025). Different timelines, priorities, and definitions of success can create conflicts between community and institutional partners (Zabelski et al., 2025). Additionally, the time and resources required for meaningful community engagement can strain both community and institutional capacity (Ozano et al., 2024).

Social Justice

The integration of social justice principles into partnership theory and practice represents a critical evolution in collaborative approaches to addressing social problems. Social justice, also known as the fair and equitable distribution of resources, opportunities, and privileges within society, provides both a normative framework for evaluating partnerships and a set of principles for guiding partnership development and implementation. Critical race theory, feminist theory, and other liberation-oriented theories have contributed vital insights about power, privilege, and oppression that are important in understanding partnership dynamics (Dwidar, 2025). These perspectives highlight how existing social hierarchies and systems of oppression can be reproduced within partnerships if deliberate efforts are not made to center equity and challenge dominant power structures (Vetter et al., 2022). The concept of structural competency, developed within medical education, which cuts across many disciplines, emphasizes the need to understand and address the structural factors that create and perpetuate health and social inequities.

Bourgois and Holmes (2014) state that effective partnerships must go beyond individual-level interventions to address the policies, systems, and structures that create vulnerability and limit opportunities for marginalized populations. Similarly, the participatory democracy theory promulgated by Pateman (1970) provides another important foundation for equity-centered partnership approaches. The theory emphasizes the importance of meaningful participation in

decision-making processes that affect one's life and community. Participatory democracy principles suggest that those most affected by social problems should have meaningful voice and decision-making authority in efforts to address those problems. Literature on power and partnerships reveals several important perceptions about developing equity-centered collaborative approaches. Power operates at many levels within partnerships; these include formal decision-making authority, control over resources, agenda-setting influence, and cultural dominance (Fung, 2006).

Traditional partnership models often fail to adequately address these power dynamics, thereby resulting in collaborations that reproduce existing inequalities rather than challenging those (Lukes, 2021).

However, literature also reveals significant challenges in implementing equity-centered partnership approaches. Institutional partners may resist sharing power or changing established practices, even when committed to equity goals (Goedkoop & Devine-Wright, 2016; Welsh & McGraw, 2025). Community partners may lack the resources or capacity to participate fully in partnership activities (MacDonald et al., 2022). Additionally, external funders and policymakers may prioritize efficiency and measurable outcomes over equity and community empowerment (Saleheen & Barela, 2025). Despite these challenges, partnerships proved that both improved outcomes for vulnerable populations and broader social justice goals can be achieved with long-term commitment, adequate resources for community engagement, strong leadership from both community and institutional partners, and willingness to adapt and learn from experience.

Strategies for Effective Partnerships

Foundation Principles for Partnership Development Effective partnerships for supporting vulnerable populations and promoting social justice are built upon a foundation of core principles. These principles, derived from extensive analysis of successful collaborative initiatives, provide essential guidance for partnership development regardless of the organizations, communities, or issues involved (Nelson, 2022). The principle of shared power and decision-making represents perhaps the most critical foundation for equity-centered partnerships. Traditional approaches to collaboration often maintain existing power hierarchies, with larger, better-resourced institutions retaining primary decision-making authority while smaller organizations and communities are relegated to advisory or implementation (Martin & Dixon-Woods, 2022; Conte et al., 2024).

Implementing shared power includes more than formal representation in governance structures; it needs attention to the conditions that enable meaningful participation, e.g., providing resources for participation, scheduling meetings at accessible times and locations, using understandable dialect, and creating culturally welcoming environments. Successful partnerships mostly include significant time and resources in building the capacity of all partners to participate effectively in collaborative decision-making processes. Trust building emerges as another fundamental principle; trusts are usually built through consistent demonstration of reliability, transparency, and commitment to shared values over time (Galvin et al., 2023). For partnerships involving communities that have experienced historical trauma or exploitation, trust building may require acknowledgment of past harms and a willingness to be held accountable by community partners (Golding, 2022).

Furthermore, the development of shared vision and goals provides another essential direction for partnership activities because it serves as a mechanism for building commitment and alignment among partners. The process of developing this shared vision is as important as the content itself, because the process requires collaborative visioning processes that honor different opinions and experiences, which are capable of revealing important differences in understanding problems and solutions. Cultural humility and responsiveness represent another critical principle of partnerships; it works across lines of difference, involves recognition of

one's own cultural limitations and biases, commitment to ongoing learning, and willingness to adapt practices (Gottlieb, 2021; Chávez, 2022; Berlian & Huda, 2022). The principle of mutual benefit ensures that partnerships create value for all participants, most importantly for the communities that are subjects of research or service delivery rather than genuine partners (Austin, 2000).

Sustainability planning from the outset represents another essential principle, as many partnerships fail due to inadequate attention to long-term viability, according to Hussein (2021). Sustainable partnerships require diversified funding, institutionalized practices, leadership development, and ongoing adaptation to changing circumstances (Mamokhere, 2022). Finally, commitment to continuous learning and adaptation helps partnerships to respond effectively to changing situations and emerging challenges through both formal and informal mechanisms for reflection, feedback, or course correction (Moreland-Russell et al., 2023; Hanson-DeFusco, 2023).

Institutional partnership Strategies An institutional partnership, which usually involves collaboration between formal organizations (such as government agencies, healthcare systems, educational institutions, and nonprofit organizations), includes strategies that address the unique opportunities and challenges of inter-organizational collaboration, as posited by Turner et al. (2022). These types of partnerships can make use of resources, expertise, and infrastructure to address complex social problems, but they must also learn how to cope with organizational boundaries, competitive priorities, and institutional cultures that may affect the effectiveness of the partnerships. According to Attah et al. (2024), effective partnership agreements establish clear governance structures, decision-making processes, resource-sharing arrangements, and accountability mechanisms. The success of every institutional partnership mainly relies on the quality of relationships between organizations and individuals, mostly within these organizations. Furthermore, resource sharing mechanisms and data governance structures are critical components of institutional partnership strategy (Yue et al., 2022; Piasecki & Cheah, 2022). Successful partnerships often evolve through predictable stages, from initial relationship building to mature collaboration (Sjögren et al., 2021), thereby stressing the need to understand the developmental stages to anticipate and prepare for in case of challenges and transitions.

Community Partnership Approaches

Community partnership approaches focus on the central role of community members, most especially those with lived experience of the issues being addressed, in all aspects of partnership development and implementation (Fleming et al., 2023). These approaches recognize that communities possess valuable knowledge, skills, networks, and cultural assets that are essential for creating sustainable change (Lansing et al., 2023). However, community partnerships also face unique challenges related to resource constraints, capacity limitations, and power imbalances with institutional partners (Bhatta & Joshi, 2023). An effective community engagement starts with meeting people where they are, both physically and through acknowledgments of their opinions, by conducting meetings in accessible community locations and addressing issues that community members identify as priorities (Williams, 2022).

The development of community leadership is a central objective and way to effective community partnerships, as it enables community members to practice leadership and make meaningful decisions (Abay & Unger, 2024). According to Williams (2022), capacity building strategies in community partnerships must address both individual and collective capacity. Leaders must be trained in public speaking and policy advocacy, and community organizations and networks must be strengthened.

Furthermore, community partnership stresses the need for identifying and mobilizing already existing community strengths rather than focusing specifically on deficits and needs. Sustainable asset-based partnerships help communities identify and mobilize assets while also

seeking additional resources and policy changes needed to address structural barriers. Community building strategies allow communities to get important tools for their collective power, and they create systemic change that ensures relationship building, leadership development, and strategic action. The collaboration of cultural knowledge and practices is another important aspect for community partnership approaches, because it gives opportunities for cultural expression and learning, and recognizes cultural knowledge as a valuable means of expertise.

Community partnerships must also address the challenge of sustainability, where community organizations often have limited and unstable resources, through strategies and funding, while advocating for policy changes. Effective community partnerships require long-term commitment and relationship building, particularly in communities that have experienced historical setbacks or exploitation by institutions. Participatory evaluation approaches allow community partnerships to assess their progress and impact in ways that build community capacity while also meeting accountability requirements. This can be achieved through community members' participation in all aspects of evaluation design and implementation.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design employing a scoping review approach to examine strategies for building institutional and community partnerships for supporting vulnerable populations and promoting social justice. Data Sources: A comprehensive search of academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, JSTOR, and PubMed, was conducted for peer-reviewed articles, books, and grey literature (policy documents, organizational reports) published between 1990 and 2025.

Search Strategy: Key search terms included: "institutional partnerships," "community partnerships," "cross-sector collaboration," "vulnerable populations," "social justice," and "partnership strategies," combined using Boolean operators. Inclusion Criteria: Sources were included if they addressed partnerships between institutions and/or communities, focused on vulnerable populations, discussed partnership strategies, and were published in English between 1990 and 2025. Analytical Framework: Data were analyzed using thematic analysis guided by intersectionality theory and participatory democracy theory. Three purposively selected case studies (the Educor program in El Salvador, the Malkohi IDP Camp in Nigeria, and the Community-led Global Polio Partnership in Nigeria) were analyzed to illustrate partnership strategies across different contexts. Synthesis: Findings were organized around foundational principles, institutional strategies, community approaches, and illustrative case studies.

Case Studies and Best Practices

Successful Institutional Collaborations

Case Study 1:

The Educor program is a community-based education initiative that began in 1991. The program was introduced in El Salvador, located in Central America, bordered by Honduras to the east, Guatemala to the west, and the Pacific Ocean to the south. It is the smallest country in Central America during the post-civil war era, to expand access to education in rural areas, especially in regions that had previously been under opposition control. It focused on utilizing community-based schools and increasing enrollment in primary education.

The Ministry of Education quickly identified expanding access to basic education and raising its quality as central goals both to rebuild national unity and to promote long-term economic development. Minister of Education Cecilia Gallardo de Cano, a reform proponent from the "modernizing" wing of the Republican Alliance Party, was intent on lessening the distrust between former combatants. But skepticism was high. The Ministry of Education was not trusted in many parts of the country and by organized groups such as the National Association of Teachers. Expansion of the traditional education system was viewed suspiciously as a covert

means of reasserting national control and building political support in opposition-dominated areas. During the war, many communities had recruited local teachers and established community schools, bearing the cost themselves and paying teachers when they could. The government seized on this model of community-based schooling as the basis for a formal program that would be financially and administratively supported by the ministry: Educación con Participación de la Comunidad, or Educor, to encourage the establishment of preschools and primary schools, or classrooms in existing schools. Begun in 1991, Educor targeted 78 of the country's poorest rural municipalities (of 221 urban and rural municipalities).

By 1993, the program was expanding to all rural areas, including many areas formerly under opposition control. But not all of the "popular schools" established during the war were incorporated into Educor. Some observers claimed there was selective inclusion based on political favoritism; others saw not incorporating popular schools into a government program as a way of sustaining spontaneous community-based education. Each Educor school (or section within a traditional school) is operated by a Community Education Association (ACE), an elected committee made up primarily of students' parents that enters into a one-year renewable agreement with the ministry. The agreement outlines rights, responsibilities, and financial transfers. The Ministry of Education oversees basic policy and technical design. Using the money directly transferred to them, ACEs select, hire, monitor, and retain or dismiss teachers. Teachers at Educor schools are hired on one-year renewable contracts. Parents are taught about school management and how to assist their children at home.

Educor succeeded in many respects. From a pilot phase of six ACEs in three departments, it scaled up nationally to all of the country's departments by 1993. Rural primary enrollments increased from 476,000 in 1992 to 555,000 in 1995, with over 75 percent of the new students enrolled in Educor schools. By 2001, there were almost 260,000 students enrolled in Educor primary schools, 41 percent of all students enrolled in rural schools, and more than 100,000 children enrolled in Educor preschools, 57 percent of all children in preschool. Even as enrollments increased rapidly, there is little evidence that learning quality suffered. A survey of 30 Educor primary schools and 101 traditional schools in 1996 found no significant differences in average math and language test scores among third graders in the two types of schools. A follow-up study in 1998 found that grade promotion and repetition were similar across the two types of schools as well. As the innovation matured, the institutional arrangements that it introduced took hold and ensured rapid expansion of school places and enrollments of poor children, seemingly without a substantial cost in quality (Davenport, 2022).

Effective Community Partnership

Case Study 2: Malkohi IDP Camp

Resettlement Plans and Their Challenges: Malkohi IDP (Internally Displaced Persons) Camp, located in Adamawa State, hosts roughly 1,200 individuals, most of whom fled the terror of Boko Haram in neighboring regions. Over the years, the conditions in the camp have worsened, with aid dwindling and living conditions becoming increasingly difficult. In response, the International Organization for Migration (IOM) and the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (UN OCHA) began outlining a resettlement plan in 2021. Their goal was to relocate the displaced families to Labondo, a site offering plots of land, shelter, and access to vital services, such as schools and clinics, ensuring a better quality of life for the affected individuals.

Biometric Registration Efforts: On June 16, 2023, the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) completed the biometric registration of 1,105 individuals, distributed across 188 households. This initiative, carried out in the newly designated "Malkohi New City," aimed to enhance documentation efforts for displaced persons and streamline aid distribution. By capturing biometric data, humanitarian agencies can provide targeted support based on accurate records, ensuring that aid reaches the right people in need.

Directive for Camp Closure: About 1.9 years ago, Adamawa State’s Governor, Ahmadu Umaru Fintiri, issued a directive for the closure of the Malkohi IDP camp. This decision was part of the broader strategy to move people out of temporary shelters into permanent housing solutions, ideally aligned with the resettlement plans. However, the exact execution and the current status of this directive remain unclear, as there have been no public reports outlining how the closure is being enforced or the timeline for its completion.

Humanitarian Support and Ongoing Needs: Various humanitarian organizations, such as JIID, have stepped up to support the displaced families in Malkohi. These organizations have launched fundraising campaigns to provide essential supplies, including food, mosquito nets, and sanitary products, addressing some of the most immediate needs of those living in the camp. These efforts underscore the persistent humanitarian challenges faced by displaced persons and highlight the continued need for aid (Grant, 2022).

Youth Activities and Aspirations: In the midst of these challenges, inspiring initiatives are helping bring normalcy and hope to the camp's residents. One such initiative, led by Vivian Ibrahim, was the “Chess in IDP Camps” program. This program aimed to engage young minds, fostering mental stimulation and providing a break from the hardships of camp life. One story that stands out is that of Basma, a 15-year-old girl living in the camp, whose dream is to become a pilot. Basma’s aspiration to help people during emergencies is a powerful symbol of the resilience and determination that thrives within these displaced communities.

Summary Table

Category	Details
Population	~1,200 IDPs from Boko Haram-affected areas
Resettlement Plan	Project to relocate IDPs to Labondo, providing land, housing, schools, and clinics
Biometric Data	1,105 individuals registered (188 households) as of 2023
Camp Closure Directive	Ordered by Governor Fintiri, but details on enforcement remain unclear.
Humanitarian Aid	Fundraising for food, mosquito nets, and sanitary supplies
Youth & Activities	Chess program and youth aspirations, including Basma’s dream of becoming a pilot

Source: Kwok, Wong, Wong & Bayuo (2024).

Case Study 3: :

This case study highlights the critical role of effective community partnerships in achieving public health goals, exemplified by the Community-led Global Polio Partnership (CGPP) in Nigeria. The CGPP successfully tackled polio immunization resistance in North East Nigeria by deeply understanding local contexts and building genuine relationships. A cornerstone of their strategy involved Volunteer Community Mobilizers (VCMs), who, with their profound knowledge of health and community needs, cultivated strong family relationships. These VCMs delivered culturally sensitive messages, dispelled myths, made referrals, and converted hesitant parents into immunization acceptors, demonstrating the power of trusted, localized engagement (Grant, 2022). Beyond polio, VCMs provided comprehensive health information on routine immunization, antenatal care, nutrition, and child health, fostering broader community trust. Their innovative approach included tracking new births and administering OPV at naming ceremonies, seamlessly integrating health interventions into traditional practices. The CGPP also implemented robust supportive supervision and data reporting systems, enhancing efficiency and effectiveness. Crucially, they developed strategies to preempt and rapidly quell rumors, gaining community access and trust—achievements pivotal to Nigeria's polio eradication progress since 2014. These methods underscore the importance of transparency, consistent presence, and proactive communication in community partnerships (Cutter, 2024).

In conclusion, the CGPP's success in Nigeria serves as a powerful model for effective community partnerships, emphasizing that genuine engagement, cultural sensitivity, and trust-building are paramount. Their strategies align with universal best practices for successful collaborations, such as establishing personal connections, being honest, and maintaining regular check-ins. The lessons from the CGPP's efforts offer a valuable blueprint for addressing diverse public health challenges globally, showcasing the enduring impact of community-led initiatives in driving sustainable and equitable outcomes.

Conclusion

The analysis of strategies for building institutional and community partnerships revealed both the tremendous potential and significant challenges of collaborative approaches to addressing social problems. Drawing from successful examples like the CGPP's community-led mobilization in Nigeria. The paper demonstrates that effective partnerships can achieve outcomes that individual organizations cannot accomplish alone, such as improved service coordination, enhanced community capacity, and meaningful progress toward social justice goals.

This aligns with the broader understanding that complex social problems demand collaborative attention using the strengths of many stakeholders (Nasution & Pradana, 2021) and that traditional isolated service delivery is inadequate (Kania & Kramer, 2011). However, to develop and sustain effective partnerships requires some changes to how organizations and communities understand and practice collaboration. Therefore, it moves beyond the traditional approach that only maintains existing power structures to embrace big organizational and cultural changes that ensure equity, community empowerment, and shared accountability.

The basic principles identified for sustainable strategies are: shared power and decision-making, trust building, shared vision development, cultural harmony, mutual benefit, sustainability planning, and commitment to multiple learning, which provide important guidance for partnership development across different contexts and populations. These principles must be operationalized through specific strategies that address the unique opportunities and challenges of institutional versus community partnerships while maintaining focus on equity and social justice benefits. The case studies examined in this paper show that successful partnerships can achieve significant impacts on both immediate service delivery and longer-term systems change. Both the Educor program in El Salvador and the ongoing efforts at Malkohi IDP Camp highlight the power of community-driven initiatives in addressing complex social challenges. Educor, a pioneering education program introduced in the early 1990s, successfully expanded access to education in rural areas, fostering national unity and empowering communities post-civil war. Its remarkable growth, from a pilot project to a nationwide success, demonstrates how targeted policies can increase enrollment and maintain educational quality. Similarly, the challenges faced by Malkohi IDP Camp emphasize the importance of humanitarian aid and resettlement strategies in addressing the needs of displaced individuals. While the camp's resettlement plan offers hope for better living conditions, the persistence of humanitarian efforts, such as biometric registration and youth empowerment initiatives, underscores the resilience of affected communities.

This paper concludes that successful collaborations are not just about resource pooling but about forging genuine partnerships built on trust, mutual respect, shared vision, and a commitment to addressing complex challenges through integrated, adaptive, and community-centric approaches, thereby advancing broader social justice goals in supporting the vulnerable population towards a better society.

Recommendations

Institutionalize shared power by establishing co-governance structures where communities hold meaningful decision-making authority, not tokenistic roles.

1. Prioritize long-term trust building through multi-year funding and consistent community presence, especially in historically marginalized communities.
2. Adopt flexible, context-responsive frameworks that respect local cultural norms and priorities rather than imposing one-size-fits-all models.
3. Strengthen community ownership by investing in local leadership, capacity building, and asset-based approaches that mobilize existing community strengths.
4. Embed equity accountability in monitoring frameworks by involving communities in defining success indicators and evaluating progress toward structural change.

References ABay, R. A., & Unger, V. H. (2024). Cultural humility in participatory research: Debunking the myth of ‘hard to reach ‘groups. In *Intersectional Colonialities*. Routledge, 269-284.

Anderson, Y., Artis, R., AuYoung, M., Ball, Q., Bella, A. L., Castellon-Lopez, Y., ... & Williams, H. (2025). Developing Strategic and Collaborative Community–Academic Partnerships to Improve Community Health, From Moving Upstream to Getting at the Root. *American Journal of Public Health*, 115(S2), S152-S163.

Arriola-Pacheco, F., Ness, A., Sihuay-Torres, K., García-Quintana, A., Proaño, D., & Lawrence, H. P. (2025). Community-Based Participatory Research: Lessons and Challenges. Symposium Special Communication. *JDR Clinical & Translational Research*, 10(2), 96-99.

Association of Resident Doctors (ARD), Federal Teaching Hospital (FTH) Gombe (2025). Success Story: Resident Doctors Conduct Free Surgeries and Medical Outreach in Gombe and Yamaltu-Deba. *The Daily Facts, Health Online Newspapers* <https://dailyfacts.com.ng/2025/05/18/success-story-resident-doctors-conduct-free-surgeries-and-medical-outreach-in-gombe-and-yamaltu-deba/>

Attah, R. U., Garba, B. M. P., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024). Evaluating strategic technology partnerships: Providing conceptual insights into their role in corporate strategy and technological innovation. *Int J Front Sci Technol Res*, 7(2), 77-89.

Austin, J. E. (2000). The collaboration challenge: How nonprofits and businesses succeed through strategic alliances. *Jossey-Bass*.

Berlian, Z., & Huda, M. (2022). Reflecting culturally responsive and communicative teaching (CRCT) through partnership commitment. *Education sciences*, 12(5), 295.

Bhaskar, R. (1975). *A realist theory of science*. Leeds Books.

Bhatta, K. D., & Joshi, B. R. (2023). Community collaboration with tourism stakeholders: Issues and challenges to promote sustainable community development in Annapurna Sanctuary Trail, Nepal. *Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 8(6), 146-154.

Bourgois, P., & Holmes, S. M. (2014). Structural vulnerability: Operationalizing the concept to address health disparities in clinical care. *Academic Medicine*, 89(3), 371-372.

- Conte, K. P., Laycock, A., Bailie, J., Walke, E., Onnis, L. A., Feeney, L., ... & Bailie, R. (2024). Producing knowledge together: a participatory approach to synthesising research across a large-scale collaboration in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 22(1), 3-17.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1(8). <https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8>
- Cutter, S. L. (2024). The origin and diffusion of the social vulnerability index (SoVI). *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 109, 104576.
- Doucet, T. C., Duinker, P. N., Zurba, M., Steenberg, J. W., & Charles, J. D. (2024). Perspectives of successes and challenges in collaborations between non-governmental organizations and local government on urban forest management. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 93, 128220.
- Dwidar, M. A. (2025). *Power to the Partners: Organizational Coalitions in Social Justice Advocacy*. University of Chicago Press.
- Eden, C. A., Chisom, O. N., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Cultural competence in education: strategies for fostering inclusivity and diversity awareness. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(3), 383-392.
- Esnara, M. B. (2025). Collaborative school-community partnering situation in a central school: basis for the creation of a partnership initiative.
- Federal Ministry of Budget and Economic Planning (2024). Advancing Nutrition and Food Security Initiatives in Nigeria. *Federal Ministry of Budget and Economic Planning* <https://nationalplanning.gov.ng/advancing-nutrition-and-food-security-initiatives-in-nigeria/>
- Fleming, P. J., Stone, L. C., Creary, M. S., Greene-Moton, E., Israel, B. A., Key, K. D., ... & Schulz, A. J. (2023). Antiracism and community-based participatory research: synergies, challenges, and opportunities. *American journal of public health*, 113(1), 70-78.
- Fung, A. (2006). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public administration review*, 66, 66-75.
- Galvin, P., Tywoniak, S., & Sutherland, J. (2021). Collaboration and opportunism in megaproject alliance contracts: The interplay between governance, trust, and culture. *International Journal of Project Management*, 39(4), 394-405.
- Goedkoop, F., & Devine-Wright, P. (2016). Partnership or placation? The role of trust and justice in the shared ownership of renewable energy projects. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 17, 135-146.
- Golding, B. (2022). Towards the “perfect” weather warning: Bridging disciplinary gaps through partnership and communication. *Springer Nature*, 270.

- Gómez, L. E., Morán, M. L., & Swerts, C. (2025). Measuring quality of life in vulnerable populations. In *Handbook of Addiction, Recovery and Quality of Life: Cross-cutting Perspectives from Around the Globe*, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 211-226
- Gottlieb, M. (2021). The case for a cultural humility framework in social work practice. *Journal of Ethnic & Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 30(6), 463-481.
- Grant, C. (2022). Collaborative tactics for equitable community partnerships toward social justice impact. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 65(1), 151-163.
- Hanleybrown, F., Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2012). Channeling change: Making collective impact work. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 10(1), 1-7.
- Hanson-DeFusco, J. (2023). What data counts in policymaking and programming evaluation— Relevant data sources for triangulation according to main epistemologies and philosophies within social science. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 97, 102238.
- Himmelman, A. T. (1996). On the theory and practice of transformational collaboration: From social service to social justice. In C. Huxham (Ed.), *Creating collaborative advantage*. Sage Publications, 19-43.
- Hingle, M. D., Shanks, C. B., Parks, C., Prickett, J., Rhee, K. E., Wright, J., ... & Yaroch, A. L. (2020). Examining equitable online federal food assistance during the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): a case study in 2 regions. *Current Developments in Nutrition*, 4(10), nzaa154.
- Hussein, B. (2021). Addressing collaboration challenges in project-based learning: The students' perspective. *Education Sciences*, 11(8), 434.
- Ijiga, A. C., Enyejo, L. A., Odeyemi, M. O., Olajide, F. I., Olajide, F. I., & Daniel, A. O. (2024). Integrating community-based partnerships for enhanced health outcomes: A collaborative model with healthcare providers, clinics, and pharmacies across the USA. *Open Access Research Journal of Biology and Pharmacy*, 10(2), 081-104.
- Jones, L., & Wells, K. (2007). Strategies for academic and clinician engagement in community-participatory partnered research. *Jama*, 297(4), 407-410.
- Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 9(1), 36–41. https://ssir.org/articles/entry/collective_impact
- Kwok, W. Y. Y., Wong, F. K. Y., Wong, A. K. C., & Bayuo, J. (2025). Community-Based Health-Social Partnership Programme (C-HSPP) for enhancing self-care management among older adults: protocol for a hybrid effectiveness-implementation trial. *BMC Public Health*, 25(1), 1678.
- Lansing, A. E., Romero, N. J., Siantz, E., Silva, V., Center, K., Casteel, D., & Gilmer, T. (2023). Building trust: Leadership reflections on community empowerment and engagement in a large urban initiative. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 1252.
- Lukes, S. (2021). *Power: A radical view*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

- MacDonald, A., Clarke, A., & Huang, L. (2022). Multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainability: Designing decision-making processes for partnership capacity. In *Business and the ethical implications of technology. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland*, 103-120.
- Mamokhere, J. (2022). Understanding the Complex Interplay of Governance, Systematic, and Structural Factors Affecting Service Delivery in South African Municipalities. *Commonwealth Youth & Development*, 20(2).
- Martin, G., & Dixon-Woods, M. (2022). *Collaboration-based approaches*. Cambridge University Press.
- Masud-All-Kamal, M., & Nursey-Bray, M. (2021). Socially just community-based climate change adaptation? Insights from Bangladesh. *Local Environment*, 26(9), 1092-1108.
- Mattessich, P. W., Murray-Close, M., & Monsey, B. R. (2001). *Collaboration: What makes it work* (2nd ed.). *Amherst H. Wilder Foundation*.
- Minkler, M., & Wallerstein, N. (2012). Introduction to community organizing and community building. *Community organizing and community building for health and welfare*, 5-26.
- Mohd Naufal, W. N. A. D., Syed Aris, S. R., Wahi, R., Mohamed, A. M. D., Zulkipli, Z. A., Awang Hashim, R., ... & Mohd Yusof, M. M. (2024). Key success factors for the implementation of service-learning Malaysia University for Society (SULAM) projects at the higher education level: Community perspectives. *Asian Journal of University Education (AJUE)*, 20(1), 152-172.
- Molle, D., Liou, Y. H., Childs, J., Saldana, C., Clifford, M., Awaludin, A., ... & Lee, Y. S. (2025). Exploring the evolution of district-university partnerships focused on equity-centered leadership. *Journal of Professional Capital and Community*, 10(2), 230-249.
- Moreland-Russell, S., Jost, E., & Gannon, J. (2023). A conceptual model for building program sustainability in public health settings: Learning from the implementation of the program sustainability action planning model and training curricula. *Frontiers in Health Services*, 3, 1026484.
- Mustapha, A. Y., Tomoh, B. O., Soyeye, O. S., Nwokedi, C. N., Mbata, A. O., Balogun, O. D., & Iguma, D. R. (2024). Preventive Health Programs: Collaboration Between Healthcare Providers and Public Health Agencies. *International Journal of Pharma Growth Research Review*, 1(6), 41-47
- Nasution, L. A., & Pradana, A. A. (2021). Vulnerable populations' coping in facing challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. *Enfermeria global*, 20(3), 612-621.
- Nelson, R. E. (2022). Developing evaluable principles for community-university partnerships. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 14(1).
- O'Dwyer, M., Filieri, R., & O'Malley, L. (2023). Establishing successful university–industry collaborations: barriers and enablers deconstructed. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 48(3), 900-931.

- Olorunsogo, T. O., Ogugua, J. O., Muonde, M., Maduka, C. P., & Omotayo, O. (2024). Environmental factors in public health: A review of global challenges and solutions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), 1453-1466.
- Pateman, C. (1970). *Participation and democratic theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- Piana, L. D. (2010). *The nonprofit mergers workbook: The leader's guide to considering, negotiating, and executing a merger*. Fieldstone Alliance.
- Piasecki, J., & Cheah, P. Y. (2022). Ownership of individual-level health data, data sharing, and data governance. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 23(1), 104.
- Qiaoyu, M., Rosnon, M. R., Amin, S. M., & Burhan, N. A. S. (2024). Research on asset-based community development. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences*, 13(2).
- Roque, A., Wutich, A., Brewis, A., Beresford, M., Landes, L., Morales-Pate, O., ... & Water Equity Consortium, A. F. (2024). Community-based participant-observation (CBPO): A participatory method for ethnographic research. *Field Methods*, 36(1), 80-90.
- Rukmana, D. (2024). Vulnerable populations. In *Encyclopedia of quality of life and well-being research* (pp. 7573-7576). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Saleheen, H. N., & Barela, K. A. (2025). Community Power Model: Pathway to Equity. *Journal of the National Hispanic Medical Association*, 3(1), 83-104.
- Savage, C., Baccelli, B., & Groves, S. (2019). Health disparities and vulnerable populations. *Public/Community Health and Nursing Practice: Caring for Populations*, 157.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Sjögren, F. K., Kottorp, A., & Rängård, M. (2021). Collaborating in a penta-helix structure within a community-based participatory research programme: 'Wrestling with hierarchies and getting caught in isolated downpipes'. *Archives of Public Health*, 79(1), 27.
- South, J., Coan, S., Woodward, J., Bagnall, A. M., & Rippon, S. (2024). Asset-Based Community Development: Co-Designing an Asset-Based Evaluation Study for Community Research. *Sage Open*, 14(2), 21582440241240836.
- Sperling, J., Gray, M., Lee, V., Schmid, L., & Malinowski, N. (2025). Where community-engaged research and randomized controlled trials align: Lessons from implementation of an experimental evaluation in partnership with a community-based afterschool program. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 10982140251322761.
- Stewart, W. L. (2023). *Inclusive Collaboration Spaces: Translating an Equity-Centered Strategic Plan into Action* (Doctoral dissertation, Northeastern University).
- Taffere, G. R., Abebe, H. T., Zerihun, Z., Mallen, C., Price, H. P., & Mulugeta, A. (2024). Systematic review of community engagement approach in research: describing partnership approaches, challenges, and benefits. *Journal of Public Health*, 32(2), 185-205.

- Tanveer, U., Hoang, T. G., Ishaq, S., & Khalid, R. U. (2025). Public-private partnerships as catalysts for digital transformation and circular economy: Insights from developing countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 219, 124270.
- Thomson, L. J. M., Waterson, H., & Chatterjee, H. J. (2024). Successes and challenges of partnership working to tackle health inequalities using collaborative approaches to community-based research: mixed methods analysis of focus group evidence. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 23(1), 135.
- Turner, S., Segura, C., & Niño, N. (2022). Implementing COVID-19 surveillance through inter-organizational coordination: a qualitative study of three cities in Colombia. *Health Policy and Planning*, 37(2), 232-242.
- Wang, H., & Ran, B. (2023). Network governance and collaborative governance: A thematic analysis on their similarities, differences, and entanglements. *Public management review*, 25(6), 1187-1211.
- Welsh, R. O., & McGraw, J. K. (2025). Advancing equity via research-practice partnerships: a theory of action for equity-centered RPPs. *In Frontiers in Education*, 10.
- White, L. (2022). Capacity Building in Communities to Create Equity-Centered Educational Opportunities.
- Williams, A. (2022). Capacity-building strategies leaders of nonprofit organizations use to improve performance (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- World Health Organization. (2024). Operational framework for monitoring social determinants of health equity. *World Health Organization*.
- Yue, L., Ye, M., & Chen, Q. (2022). The impact of partnerships and information sharing on corporate sustainable performance: a mediation model moderated by government support. *Frontiers in psychology*, 13, 942279.
- Zabelski, S., Cascalheira, C. J., Shaw, T. J., Helminen, E. C., Messinger, A. M., Edwards, K. M., & Scheer, J. R. (2025). Community-based participatory research with sexual and gender minority trauma survivors: challenges, solutions, and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 40(13-14), 3068-3084.
- Zhang, Y., Xie, Y. J., Yang, L., Cheung, K., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., ... & Leung, A. Y. M. (2024). Community-based participatory research (CBPR) approaches in vaccination promotion: a scoping review. *International journal for equity in health*, 23(1), 227.