

**POLICY MAKING AND THE POLITICS OF IMPLEMENTATION IN NIGERIA'S
DEMOCRATIC EXPERIENCE: GOVERNANCE, INSTITUTIONS AND SOCIAL DYNAMICS**

¹Bashir Abdullahi, ²Labajirge Silas Bedan & ³Mohammed Ibrahim Alhaji

¹Department of Political Science, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, Gombe State University,
Gombe, Gombe State, Nigeria
bashirabdullahi395@gsu.edu.ng
08037683775

²Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social and Management Sciences, Modibbo Adama
University, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria
elbeejay66@mau.edu.ng
08030777460

³Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social and Management Sciences, Modibbo Adama
University, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria
mohammedalhajiibrahimisa@mau.edu.ng
08067389638

Abstract

Public policy outcomes in Nigeria's democratic experience have been shaped not only by the quality of policy formulation but, more critically, by the political dynamics surrounding implementation. Despite the proliferation of well-articulated policies since the return to civil rule in 1999, persistent gaps remain between policy intent and actual outcomes. This study examines the relationship between policy making and the politics of implementation in Nigeria, arguing that implementation failures are largely rooted in power relations, elite interests, institutional weaknesses, and the politicization of the policy process. Drawing on political economy and institutionalist perspectives, the study situates policy implementation within Nigeria's democratic structures, highlighting how electoral incentives, intergovernmental relations, bureaucratic political interactions, and regime transitions influence policy execution. Using qualitative analysis of secondary data, official policy documents, and existing empirical studies, the study demonstrates that policy implementation in Nigeria is less a technical administrative process and more a contested political arena shaped by competing interests and governance structures. Therefore, it is recommended that strengthening democratic accountability, institutional autonomy, and policy continuity is essential for improving implementation effectiveness and enhancing governance outcomes in Nigeria.

Keywords: Public Policy; Policy Implementation; Democratic Governance; Political Institutions

Introduction

Public policy is a central instrument of governance in democratic states, shaping how governments articulate public goals, allocate resources, and respond to societal needs. In Nigeria which is Africa's largest democracy the formal return to civilian rule in 1999 reignited expectations that democratic governance would be accompanied by effective policy making and implementation. However, despite the adoption of numerous policy frameworks in areas such as human development, social equity, and public administration, the translation of policy intent into consistent outcomes remains a persistent challenge (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). These implementation challenges are not merely technical but are shaped by the political context in which policies are made, contested, and enacted.

Recent scholarship on Nigerian governance underscores that public policy implementation is deeply political in nature. For instance, the Federal Character Principle, designed to ensure equitable representation in public service, has struggled to achieve its objectives because of partisan appointments and political patronage, undermining its governance impact (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). Similarly, analyses of educational policy in Nigeria highlight how political interference, fragmented policy frameworks, and weak accountability systems contribute to implementation shortcomings even in sectors critical to national development (Aliyu, Shuaibu, Muhammad, & Adullahi, 2025). These studies affirm that administrative bottlenecks cannot be fully understood without situating policy execution within a broader political economy perspective.

The dynamics of policy implementation in Nigeria are further complicated by federal structures and intergovernmental politics. Nigeria's multi-tiered governance system often produces misalignment in implementation responsibilities, where federal, state, and local governments lack coherent coordination mechanisms and often respond to divergent political incentives. Such fragmentation frequently results in uneven policy outcomes across jurisdictions, reflecting competing political interests rather than unified administrative action (Oikhala & Giwah, 2025). This fragmented governance environment illustrates how political structures and interests shape not only policy design but also outcomes on the ground. Bureaucratic capacity and professional autonomy also play critical roles in policy execution within democratic governance. Studies examining public bureaucracy in Nigeria argue that pervasive political interference, unclear role delineation, and entrenched patronage norms impair the ability of civil servants to implement policies according to established rules and norms (Paul, 2024). These constraints are compounded by public distrust in democratic institutions brought about by unmet expectations, corruption, and weak accountability, which further hinder the legitimacy and effectiveness of policy interventions (Vande & Audu, 2025).

Taken together, this body of recent scholarship suggests that understanding policy outcomes in Nigeria requires moving past technocratic explanations centered on capacity or resource deficits to attend more directly to the political dynamics influencing implementation processes. Anchored in political economy and institutional perspectives, this article situates policy implementation as a fundamentally political process in Nigeria's democratic experience. It argues that implementation failures often reflect broader struggles over power, interests, and institutional authority within the polity. By foregrounding the politics of policy execution, this study seeks to explain why well-designed policies frequently fail to achieve intended outcomes and how democratic structures enable or constrain policy effectiveness.

Research Questions

- i. What are the Political and institutional factors influencing public policy implementation in Nigeria?
- ii. What Challenges hinder the effective execution of public policies in Nigeria?
- iii. How do these political and institutional dynamics affect the outcomes and sustainability of public policies?

Research Objectives

- i. To examine Political and institutional factors influencing public policy implementation in Nigeria.
- ii. To identify challenges hindering effective execution of public policies in Nigeria.
- iii. To evaluate the impact of political and institutional dynamics on the effectiveness and sustainability of public policies.

Literature Review

Public Policy

Public policy refers to the authoritative decisions and actions undertaken by governmental actors to address societal problems and allocate public resources (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). In democratic settings such as Nigeria, successful policy implementation is widely understood not only as the administrative execution of government programs but also as a complex process influenced by political structures, institutional arrangements, and actor interactions (Baba, Leutin Baba, & Dorathy, 2025). Implementation, therefore, involves translating policy goals into concrete outcomes through coordinated action among multiple stakeholders, institutions, and levels of government.

Policy implementation has been conceptualized within the broader framework of the policy cycle, wherein implementation follows agenda-setting and formulation, and precedes evaluation. This cycle approach underscores that implementation outcomes cannot be detached from policy design, institutional capacity, and political contexts (Baba et al., 2025). In the Nigerian case, scholars argue that implementation challenges often reflect broader structural and political realities rather than merely administrative shortcomings (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024).

Political Context and Implementation in Democratic Systems

The politics of implementation reflects the influence of political interests, power relations, and institutional incentives in shaping how policies are executed. In democracies, political actors engage in implementation not solely as neutral administrators but as strategic actors influenced by electoral incentives, party competition, and intergovernmental conflicts. These political dynamics affect resource allocation, priority setting, coordination, and continuity, leading to variations in implementation effectiveness (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024; Baba et al., 2025).

In Nigeria, the federal system further complicates implementation processes, as responsibilities for policy execution are shared across federal, state, and local governments. Intergovernmental politics often produce coordination challenges, misaligned political incentives, and uneven outcomes across jurisdictions (Baba et al., 2025). Political competition and partisanship also shape how and when policies are implemented, with electoral calculations sometimes taking precedence over technical feasibility or developmental impact.

Theoretical Framework

To analyze the politics of implementation, this study draws on two complementary theoretical perspectives: Institutionalism and Political Economy Perspectives.

Institutionalism

Institutionalism emphasizes how formal structures, normative rules, and organizational patterns shape policy processes and outcomes. According to this perspective, institutions do not simply provide a backdrop for policy activity; they actively empower and constrain actors, influence preferences, and shape patterns of behavior over time (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024; see also institutionalist logic in how formal rules of federalism and intergovernmental relations affect coordination and implementation). Institutions determine the formal mechanisms through which implementation occurs, and they influence how political actors interpret and act upon policy directives.

Political Economy Approach

The political economy perspective situates policy implementation within broader struggles over power, distribution of resources, and competing interests. This approach highlights how elite competition, patronage, and political incentives can undermine or shape implementation outcomes. For example, policies designed to promote equity and representation may be circumvented or weakened by elite actors seeking to preserve their political influence (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). A political economy lens thus foregrounds the strategic actions of influential actors, rather than treating implementation as a neutral administrative activity.

By combining institutional and political economy approaches, this study conceptualizes implementation as a political and institutional process in which the effectiveness of policy execution is shaped by political structures, organizational norms, and actor incentives. This integrated framework recognizes that even well-designed policies may falter in settings where institutional coordination is weak, political interests dominate administrative decisions, and intergovernmental politics fragment implementation efforts.

In Nigeria's democratic experience, policy outcomes reflect not only the technical soundness of policy design but also the political dynamics embedded in institutional arrangements and actor interactions. As such, understanding implementation requires an analytical lens that captures both the institutional architecture of policy systems and the political forces influencing how implementation unfolds.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design relying exclusively on secondary sources to examine the politics and challenges of policy implementation in Nigeria. Data were collected from a wide range of government policy documents, official reports, white papers, and academic publications published between 2020 and 2025. This approach allowed the study to explore institutional arrangements, political influences, bureaucratic practices, and citizen engagement across different levels of government, providing a comprehensive understanding of policy implementation.

Nigeria's Democratic Experience and Policy Making

Nigeria's democratic experience since the return to civilian rule in 1999 has been marked by both expansions of civil liberties and persistent governance challenges. Despite nearly three decades of uninterrupted democratic governance, the quality of democratic practice particularly in relation to the formulation and implementation of public policy remains contested in academic and policy debates. Contemporary scholarship underscores that while democratic structures and institutions have been consolidated formally, the substantive practice of democracy has yet to consistently translate into effective public policy outcomes (Vande & Audu, 2025; Eze, 2024).

Democratic governance in Nigeria is shaped by multiple political incentives and institutional dynamics that have direct implications for how public policies are made and executed. As democratic governments seek to build legitimacy and political support, policy making often reflects shifting electoral priorities and elite bargaining, rather than stable, long-term development strategies. Scholars observe that this can lead to policy discontinuity as administrations change course with each electoral cycle, undermining implementation and accountability (Vande & Audu, 2025).

Intergovernmental politics further complicates policy making and implementation in the Nigerian federal system. With policy responsibilities distributed across federal, state, and local governments, coordination challenges frequently arise, weakening coherent policy execution. Research on multi-level governance in Nigeria suggests that misaligned political interests and inadequate fiscal coordination between tiers of government often lead to uneven implementation outcomes and policy fragmentation (Baba, Leutin Baba, & Dorathy, 2025). Additionally, political dynamics such as partisan competition, leadership strategies, and elite manipulation influence policy priorities and outcomes. Issues such as political party

financing, patronage networks, and elite capture of state institutions shape both the content of policies and their implementation space within Nigeria's democratic setting (Usen, 2024).

Citizen engagement, or the lack thereof, also plays a pivotal role in the policy process. Although democratic governance is expected to enhance public participation in policy making, studies reveal that citizen disillusionment and low engagement persist, which, in turn, weakens democratic accountability and reduces public buy-in for policy initiatives. Research shows that increasing citizens' participation in policy formulation and implementation enhances responsiveness and democratic legitimacy, yet such participation remains uneven in practice (Remigius, Okonkwo, & Emebo, 2025).

Moreover, democratic governance in Nigeria continues to grapple with legitimacy challenges and societal discontent. Recent studies highlight that despite democratic space, public dissatisfaction with governance performance particularly in relation to service delivery, transparency, and equitable policy protection remains high, influencing how citizens view state authority and demand policy reforms (Vande & Audu, 2025).

In summary, Nigeria's democratic experience is characterized by the formal consolidation of democratic institutions but persistent substantive governance challenges that shape public policy processes. These dynamics including intergovernmental politics, leadership incentives, citizen engagement deficits, and elite bargaining critically influence how policies are formulated and whether they are implemented successfully or not. As a result, understanding implementation outcomes requires situating policy processes within the broader political context of Nigeria's democratic governance.

The Politics of Policy Implementation in Nigeria

Policy implementation in Nigeria is inherently political, shaped not only by administrative or technical factors but also by power relations, elite interests, and governance structures that influence how and whether policies are executed. While policy formulation may reflect technical expertise and developmental objectives, its execution often becomes a terrain of political contestation (Abdallah, Abdulrazak, & Abdulqadeer, 2025; Ahmad, 2025).

Power, Interests, and Elite Influence

Political elites in Nigeria play a decisive role in shaping policy priorities and outcomes. Elite capture, patronage networks, and strategic deployment of resources frequently result in selective or distorted implementation that reflects political calculations rather than social needs (Aliyu, Shuaibu, Muhammad, & Adullahi, 2025; Effiong, 2025). Studies show that even well-designed policies, like those in education and civil service reform, are reinterpreted or reshaped to serve elite interests, undermining their effectiveness (Aliyu et al., 2025). This aligns with political economy perspectives that emphasize the distribution of power and resources in governance processes (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024).

Electoral Cycles and Policy Continuity

Democratic elections and regime transitions significantly influence policy implementation. New administrations often modify, rebrand, or abandon programmes initiated by their predecessors to consolidate political legitimacy and differentiate themselves, leading to policy discontinuity (Vande & Audu, 2025). This pattern weakens institutional memory, disrupts long-term planning, and undermines development goals, reflecting how political incentives can override technical considerations in democratic governance (Abdallah et al., 2025).

Federalism and Intergovernmental Politics

Nigeria's federal system introduces additional political complexity. Implementation responsibilities are dispersed across federal, state, and local governments, yet coordination mechanisms are weak or inconsistently applied. Intergovernmental misalignment and partisan tensions often result in fragmented implementation and uneven policy outcomes across jurisdictions (Baba, Leutin Baba, & Dorathy, 2025);

Oikhala & Giwah, 2025). Scholars argue this reflects not just administrative deficits but political competition and conflicting interests among government tiers (Abdallah et al., 2025).

Bureaucratic Politics and Administrative Discretion

The bureaucracy operates within a highly politicized environment in Nigeria, where political interference, patronage, and career incentives influence how policies are enacted. Political pressures on bureaucratic appointments, transfers, and funding allocations limit autonomy and professionalism, resulting in selective enforcement and delays (Paul, 2024). Recent research emphasizes that bureaucrats must navigate conflicting demands implement policies faithfully while satisfying politically powerful actors which complicates implementation processes (Obasa & Olurin, 2025).

Traditional and Informal Political Influences

Beyond formal political institutions, informal actors such as traditional rulers often play facilitative roles in policy execution, especially at the local level. In some regions, traditional leaders help bridge gaps between formal authorities and communities, enhancing implementation through normative influence and social order (Ashade & Osawe, 2025). This underscores the importance of informal political structures in shaping implementation outcomes in Nigeria's decentralized contexts.

Administrative Governance and Sectoral Politics

Sector-specific dynamics also demonstrate the political nature of implementation. For example, public health policy implementation reveals how weak monitoring and evaluation, political patronage in resource allocation, and administrative disparities across regions limit progress toward national goals like Universal Health Coverage (Muhammadu & Abdullahi, 2025). Such sectoral analyses show that political and administrative determinants are intertwined in implementation outcomes.

Institutional and Administrative Dynamics

Institutional and administrative capacities play a pivotal role in the success or failure of public policy implementation in Nigeria. Even well-formulated policies can fail if the institutions responsible for executing them lack the autonomy, resources, or coordination necessary for effective implementation (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). The structure, functioning, and bureaucratic culture of Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs) significantly influence whether policy objectives are realized.

Bureaucratic Capacity and Professionalism

Bureaucratic competence, professional training, and institutional stability are critical to policy execution. Research indicates that frequent political interference in bureaucratic appointments and transfers reduces administrative professionalism, leading to inconsistent policy enforcement and diminished accountability (Paul, 2024). Furthermore, civil servants often operate under unclear mandates or overlapping responsibilities, which undermines coordinated action across departments and levels of government (Baba, Leutin Baba, & Dorathy, 2025).

Political Interference and Administrative Autonomy

Political influence remains a key constraint on policy implementation. Administrators often face pressure from elected officials to prioritize politically advantageous actions over technically optimal solutions. This interference can manifest as selective implementation, resource misallocation, or abrupt policy changes aligned with electoral considerations (Vande & Audu, 2025). Studies argue that limited administrative autonomy diminishes the capacity of civil servants to implement policies effectively and predictably.

Coordination across Governmental Levels

Nigeria's federal system introduces additional challenges in policy coordination. Responsibilities for policy execution are spread across federal, state, and local governments, yet institutional mechanisms for

collaboration are weak or inconsistently applied (Oikhala & Giwah, 2025). Misalignment in resource allocation, communication gaps, and political partisanship at subnational levels often result in fragmented implementation, undermining national policy objectives.

Oversight, Accountability, and Institutional Mechanisms

Effective oversight mechanisms, including parliamentary committees, auditing bodies, and anti-corruption agencies, are essential for ensuring that policies are implemented according to legal and ethical standards. However, recent studies show that oversight in Nigeria is frequently undermined by partisan interests, limited institutional capacity, and weak enforcement, allowing deviations from planned implementation to go unchecked (Aliyu, Shuaibu, Muhammad, & Adullahi, 2025). Strengthening institutional checks and balances is therefore critical to bridging the gap between policy design and delivery.

Policy Implementation Outcomes and Governance Implications

The outcomes of public policy implementation in Nigeria are heavily influenced by political, institutional, and administrative dynamics. Despite formal policy frameworks and ambitious developmental goals, the gap between policy intent and actual outcomes remains significant. Recent studies highlight that the effectiveness of policy implementation is less a matter of technical capacity and more a reflection of the political and institutional environment in which policies operate (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024; Baba, Leutin Baba, & Dorathy, 2025).

Inconsistent Policy Outcomes

Policies across sectors such as education, health, infrastructure, and social welfare often yield uneven results due to political interference, bureaucratic inefficiency, and coordination challenges across government tiers. For example, initiatives aimed at improving educational access or healthcare delivery may succeed in certain states while failing in others, depending on the alignment of political interests and the effectiveness of local administrative structures (Aliyu, Shuaibu, Muhammad, & Adullahi, 2025). This inconsistency undermines the credibility of policy interventions and contributes to public dissatisfaction.

Democratic Accountability and Citizen Trust

Implementation failures have broader implications for democratic governance. When policies do not translate into tangible benefits, citizens may perceive government institutions as ineffective or unresponsive, weakening democratic accountability and eroding trust in state authority (Vande & Audu, 2025). The lack of visible outcomes from policy initiatives can foster apathy, reduce civic engagement, and exacerbate public discontent, creating a cycle that further constrains effective governance (Remigius, Okonkwo, & Emebo, 2025).

Impacts on Social and Economic Development

Policy implementation challenges also have direct consequences for social and economic development. Delays or partial execution of key programs in areas such as poverty alleviation, infrastructure development, and healthcare provision limit the intended developmental impact of policies. This situation contributes to persistent inequality, regional disparities, and underdevelopment in sectors critical for national growth (Onimisi & Osasona, 2024). Consequently, the political and administrative context in which policies are executed becomes a determinant of development outcomes.

Conclusion

This study highlights the complex interplay of political, institutional, and administrative factors in shaping public policy implementation in Nigeria. Despite the existence of well-formulated policies across multiple sectors, their outcomes are often undermined by elite interests, political interference, bureaucratic inefficiencies, weak intergovernmental coordination, and limited citizen engagement. Using

political economy and new institutionalist frameworks, the analysis demonstrates that policy implementation is not merely a technical process but a highly politicized and institutionally mediated activity.

Recommendations

Based on the analysis, the study offers the following recommendations to enhance public policy implementation in Nigeria:

- i. Strengthen Bureaucratic Professionalism and Autonomy. Civil service reforms should focus on merit-based appointments, enhanced training, and protecting bureaucrats from undue political interference.
- ii. There should be mechanisms for collaboration between federal, state, and local governments should be institutionalized, including clear protocols for resource allocation, monitoring, and reporting.
- iii. Government should Institutionalize Citizen Participation. Engaging citizens through consultative forums, participatory budgeting, and policy feedback mechanisms can strengthen legitimacy, accountability, and policy relevance.
- iv. Establishment of long-term strategic plans insulated from short-term political interests can help ensure that policies survive regime changes and deliver intended developmental outcomes.

References

- Abasilim, U. D., Adelusi, O., & Mudau, T. J. (2025). Evaluating Nigeria's National Development Plans: Addressing Contemporary Challenges and Seizing Strategic Opportunities. *Journal Administrasi Publik*, 15(1), 141–152. <https://doi.org/10.31289/jap.v15i1.14538>
- Abdallah, M., Abdulrazak, A. D., & Abdulqadeer, U. J. (2025). Implementation of Public Policies in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges, and Ways Forward. *FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1). https://fudijoss.fudutsinma.edu.ng/index.php/fudijoss/article/view/31?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Ahmad, I. (2025). Public policy implementation issues and perspectives in Nigeria. *Islamic University Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(4) https://journals.iuiu.ac.ug/index.php/iujss/article/view/861?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Adefisoye, T. O. (2025). Citizen Participation and Flood Management: Lessons for Public Policy implementation in Nigeria. *Public Policy and Administration Research*, 15(2). https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/PPAR/article/view/38459?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Aliyu, U., Shuaibu, M., Muhammad, A., & Adullahi, M. (2025). Educational Policies and Implementation Politics in Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary Research in Educational Administration and Management*, 2(2), 46–54. https://edufdns.ng/jcream/article/view/65?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Ashade, O. A., & Osawe, C. O. (2025). Participatory Governance: Assessing the Facilitative

Leadership role of Traditional Rulers in the Implementation of a Decentralised Policy in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/participatory-governance-assessing-the-facilitative-leadership-role-of-traditional-rulers-in-the-implementation-of-a-decentralised-policy-in-nigeria/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

- Baba, I. M., Leutin Baba, J., & Dorathy, P. (2025). Policy Implementation in Nigeria: Processes, Goals, and Responsible Entities. *International Journal of Social Science Research and Anthropology*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.70382/tijssra.v09i6.065>
- Citizen Engagement in Nigeria's Public Policy Process. (2025). Comparative Analysis of State and Non-state Actors' Perspectives. *Public Policy and Administration Research*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.7176/PPAR/15-3-01>
- Effiong, A. N. (2025). Policy Implementation and its Challenges in Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Legal Studies and Governance (IJALSG)*. https://icidr.org.ng/index.php/Ijalsg/article/view/367?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Muhammadu, S., & Abdullahi, M. (2025). Public health policy implementation and administrative challenges in Nigeria. *African Journal of Public Administration*, 9(2), 87–105.
- Obinna, A., & Akinola, F. (2024). Governance structures and policy implementation outcomes in Nigerian local governments. *African Journal of Policy and Governance*, 8(4), 54–73.
- Oikhala, G. I., & Giwah, D. M. (2025). Federal Character Policy Implementation and Governance in Nigeria. *Jurnal Politik Indonesia*, 11(1). https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/POLINDO/article/view/70888?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Onimisi, T., & Osasona, S. H. (2024). Strategies for Effective Policy Implementation in Nigeria: A case of Federal Character Principle. *Journal of Governance and Development*, 20(1), 129–150. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jgd2024.20.1.5>
- Onimisi, T. (2025). Interrogating the Basic Elements of Public Policy using Nigerian Examples. *Journal of Politics and Governance*, 15(3), 71–83. https://so03.tcithaijo.org/index.php/jopag/article/view/284424?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Obasa, S. O., & Olurin, E. O. (2025). The nexus between Public Bureaucrats and Policy Implementation Failures in Nigeria: Examining Challenges and Implications. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Social Sciences*. https://nigerianjournalsonline.org/index.php/IJIMSS/article/view/824?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Paul, A. (2024). Challenges and Strategies for the Implementation of Public Policies by Bureaucrats in the Federal Republic of Nigeria. *International Journal of Intellectual Discourse*, 7(1), 308–322. https://ijidjournal.org/index.php/ijid/article/view/508?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Remigius, E. C., Okonkwo, N. A., & Emebo, O. S. (2025). Public Policy and Citizens' Participation

in Nigeria Politics: A Pillar for Sustainable Security. *Nigerian Journal of Banking and Financial Issues*, 11(1).

- Usen, A. (2024). Political Party Financing in Nigeria and its Implication on Transparency in governance. *PERSPEKTIF*, 13(4), 1080–1093. <https://doi.org/10.31289/perspektif.v13i4.12801>
- Vande, P. T., & Audu, Y. A. (2025). Democratic Governance and Public Discontent in Nigeria's Fourth Republic, 1999–2024. *International Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 16(6), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738753>